

Author: Title:	Poster type (circle one): Student	Total Score:
Judge name:	Professional	

Record your answers to the following questions by selecting the most appropriate response

Organization

		Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
1	The title completely and accurately described the contents of the poster	1	2	3 4	5
2	Organizational strategy was logical and integrated well throughout the poster (i.e., it was easy to navigate and easy to understand key points)	1 3	4 6	8 10 12	13 14 15
3	Introduction: Presented an overview of the research question or program; presented the current level of knowledge in the field and relevant historical context; research or other relevant objectives were clear described	1 3	4 6	8 10 12	13 14 15
4	Methods: If a research presentation, the study design, data collection, and methods, including any relevant analytical tools, are clearly described. Discretion should be used when evaluating the body of descriptive or informative posters.	1 2	3 4	5 6 7	8 9 10
5	Data analysis and results: Figures, tables or diagrams were clear, attractive, and carefully explained to audience. All results were described and explained and results are clearly link to research objectives. Discretion should be used when evaluating the body of descriptive or informative posters.	1 2	3 4	5 6 7	8 9 10
6	Conclusions: Formulation and expression of conclusions, interpretations, and implications were appropriate given the results; limitations of the study were adequately addressed; clear "take home" messaging. Do interpretations agree with the results?	1 2	3 4	5 6 7	8 9 10

Design, layout, and visuals

7	The poster design and layout deliver an effective presentation, the text is appropriately sized (i.e., visible from 10') and sufficiently describes the research or program without being overly wordy, the visuals draw attention to the poster, all visuals (i.e., photos, tables, figures, other graphics) enhance reader understanding without distracting from major points.	2 4	6 8	10 12 14	16 18 20
---	---	-----	-----	----------	----------

Other considerations

9	Originality and significance of work: Is the concept, interpretations or approach unique or innovative? Does this work represent a significant advance in our understanding of fisheries ecology, aquatic biology and/or fisheries management?	1 2	3 4	5 6 7	8 9 10
10	Additional merit: Use this category to reward unique or attractive features, which do not fit well within other criteria. Explain in the space below	1	2	3 4	5

Please provide a brief evaluation of the presentation and comments for the author(s).