Author: Title: Judge name:		Paper type (circle one): 10-min 20-min				al st	art ti	me:				
						End time:						
	Record your answers to the following questions by selecting the most appropriate respon	nse										
	se of time and rhetorical qualities		Poor		Fair		Good			Excellent		
1	Did the author finish the presentation within the allotted time limit? Substract 1 pt for ev	very 30 sec over/under	1 2		3 4		5 6 7		8	9	10	
2	The title completely and accurately decribed the contents of the presentation		1		2		3 4		5			
	Presentation style and delivery											
3	Relative poise (e.g., avoided slang expressions, appropriate pace of delivery, sufficently lo	oud and clear, few extended pauses)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Content, organization and use of visual aids											
	Organizational strategy was logical and transitions between major points were effective;	visual aids were easy to see,		,	2				_	_	_	
4	understandable and relevant to the presentation		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
5	Introduction : Presented an overview and effectively captured audience's atttention; presented the current level of knowledge in				4	6	8	10	12	13	14	15
	the field; research objectives were clear		1	3	•							
6	Data analysis and results : Analytical methods were clearly described; figures, tables or discarefully explained to audience	diagrams were clear, attractive, and	1	3	4	6	8	10	12	13	14	15
	Conclusions: Formulation and expression of conclusions, interpretations, and implication	ons were appropriate given the results:		-								
7	limitations of the study were adequately addressed; clear "take home" messaging	ons were appropriate given the results,	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
						<u> </u>			<u>'</u>			
	Other considerations											
	Preparation: Materials presented were pertinent and relevant to topic; topic was well-re	esearched without clear omissions; slides		1								
8	were clear and easy to follow	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Originality and significance of work: Is the concept, interpretations or appoarch unique	or innovative? Does this work represent a	4	٦	2		_	_	_	0	0	40
9	significant advance in our understanding of fisheries ecology, aquatic biology and/or fisher	eries management?	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
10	Additional merit: Use this category to reward unique or attractive features, which do not fit well within other criteria. Explain in				2		3 4		l	5		
10	the space below		1			2		4				
	Please provide a brief evaluation of the presentation and comments for the author(s).									F		
								Tota	l Sco	re:		